## APPENDIX A

### CALIFORNIA DESERT DISTRICT, BLM

August 1999

Documentation Form (PART I & II) for Rangeland Health Determination:
Achievement of Rangeland Health Standards,
Contributing Factors and Appropriate Action Priorities

#### **PART I- Review**

Indicate the date(s) or period the information covered: Field assessment conducted during the 1999 grazing season (December 1998, thru June, 1999). Monitoring filed reviewed back to 1989 when 10 year grazing lease was issued.

#### **Participants**

Names

Position

Tanya Egan Remijio Chavez Jessica Walker Marvin Urban Wildlife Biologist
Rangeland Management Specialist
Botanist
Range Assessment Tech.

#### Section 1-Identification of Area(s) and Related Information

 Site (Specific Geographic Area) within Management Unit (allotment, pasture or area) -Allotment name/number: Rattlesnake Canyon/08003

Allotment Description - The Rattlesnake Canyon Allotment is located approximately 50 mile SE of Barstow and 12 miles NW of Yucca Valley. The upper elevational portion of the allotment is situated in the Bighorn Mountain Range, south of Highway 247, and is connected to the lower elevational portion by Rattlesnake Canyon. The allotment is composed of varying topography ranging from arid alluvial fans at an elevation of 3,200 feet, to pinon pine woodlands at 6,000 feet. A total of 18 assessment transects were conducted from RS#1A thru RS#8B.

**Legal location, UTM, Lat. and Long -** UTM's will be supplied for each transect site when available. See Maps 1 through ? for transect locations.

Approximate size in acres (or linear length if lotic riparian) - 27,364 acres of public land, 2,765 acres of private land, of which 10 acres are controlled by the livestock producer, and 0 acres of state land.

Management Unit (allotment or pasture-list name/no./acres) -

There are three primary grazing units in the Rattlesnake Canyon Allotment. The upper elevational portion of the allotment located in the Bighorn Mountains is typically used in the summer and fall months through November and comprises approximately 45 percent of the allotment. The lower elevation portion of the allotment starting at the mouth of Rattlesnake Canyon is typically used in the winter and spring months and comprises approximately 50 percent of the allotment. Rattlesnake Canyon itself is the topographic link between the lower and upper grazing units in this allotment and comprises an important 5 percent of the allotment. There is always some level of grazing

occurring within the canyon. Grazing activity within the canyon is highest in the fall and spring when cattle are herded to either the lower or upper portions of the allotment.

- 3. Landscape (identify by groups of management units, or by watershed if cross-cutting MU's and list) Eighteen sites were assessed for rangeland health. These 18 sites were mapped inside 8 polygons that represent a specific area with similar landscape features, vegetation, and use. RS#1A& 1C-Joshua Tree woodland, RS#1B & 3A- Joshua Tree/Blackbrush, RS#1D&2A-Pinon Pine/Blackbrush, RS#2B & 3B-Pinon Pine/Oak, RS#2C-Mojave yucca/Blackbrush, RS#4A & 4B-RS Canyon Wash, RS#5A, 5B, 6A & 8B- Creosote Scrub,, RS#7A-Mix Mojave Transitional, RS#7B& 8A-Mixed Mojave. See EA for description of community types.
- 4. **Period of Use -** This is a yearlong grazing allotment. The allotment is topographically separated by elevation and terrain. The lower elevational portion of the allotment is mojave desert and desert tortoise habitat. The upper portion of the allotment is located in the Bighorn Mountains. These use areas are connected by Rattlesnake Canyon. The desert portion of the allotment is used primarily in the winter and spring months. The upper portion is used in the summer and fall months.
- Kind and Class of Livestock: Cattle/Cow-Calf
- Other Stratification (identify-e.g., all riparian areas in XXX Pasture) The allotment is stratified into 8 upland polygons based on topography, know areas of livestock concentration, and accessability. Most developed & undeveloped water sources were evaluated for PFC. Portions of the Bighorn Mountains Wilderness area overlap the allotment. A know population of parish's daisy (Erigeron parishii) near the USFS boundary.

#### Section 2-Identification of Information Reviewed

The following information (e.g. monitoring, literature, personal communication, etc.) was considered to determine attainment and, if applicable, contributing factor(s) for non-achievement and failure to make significant progress towards achievement of standards listed later in this section.

A. Information relevant to fallback standard, Soil Permeability:
Upland soils exhibit infiltration and permeability rates that are appropriate to soil type, climate, and landform.

### Soil Stability Indicators

Soils stability evaluated using SSF Form (7310-12) - RS#6A showed signs of moderate erosion but the primary causes are considered natural, however cattle grazing may have contributed to this rating. With the exception of active washes soils assessed at the transect sites are considered stable, with slight erosion detected at RS#8B also caused by natural processes.

#### **Productivity Indicators**

#### Biological

Litter and organic matter - As documented in the rangeland health assessments conduced from December, 1998 through June 1999 in the Rattlesnake Canyon Allotment; percentages of persistent and non-persistent litter is appropriate at each assessment site and contributes to the protection of the soils from accelerated erosion.

Cryptogam/microphyte - As documented in the rangeland health assessments conducted from December, 1998 through June 1999 in the Rattlesnake Canyon Allotment; the presence of cryptogams were noted at RS#1A, 1C, 1D, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3A, 3B, 4B & 8B. These cryptogams were

fragmented in RS#1A, 1C & 3A, and considered intact at RS#1D, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3B, 4B & 8B.

Plant vigor - Overall plant vigor was fair to good in most plant communities occur within the allotment. However, due to moderate to heavy utilization levels and the lack of periodic rest, poor plant vigor of key species was detected at RS#1A, 5A & 6A.

**Recruitment** - Overall species recruitment was considered to be at acceptable levels in most of the plant communities occurring within the allotment. However, due to moderate to heavy utilization levels and the lack of periodic rest, minimal recruitment was detected on key species at RS#1A, 5A & 6A.

#### **Physical**

**Porosity** - Infiltration rates (seconds/inch) & Penetrometer reading (Ibs./sq.inch) available upon request. No indications of unnatural compaction due to livestock grazing except at water facilities.

Animal/insect burrowing - As documented in the rangeland health assessments conducted from December through June 1999 in the Rattlesnake Canyon Allotment, the presence or absence of small mammal, or desert tortoise burrows was documented. Low densities of small mammal burrows were detected at RS#1A, and low densities of desert tortoise and small mammal burrows were detected at RS#5A & 6A.

Comments: Overall soil surfaces stable. No indicators of accelerated erosion or unnatural compaction caused by livestock grazing.

B. Information relevant to fallback standard, Riparian/Wetland: Riparian-wetland areas are in properly functioning condition. Biotic Indicators (reference and date of information source)

PFC - Riparian vegetation is limited to small springs and addits. The larger of these areas is approximately two acres and the smallest spring measures less that twenty feet by twenty feet area. The amount of water produced is limited, and could be generally characterized from a small flow about the diameter of a pencil to a trickle. During the past year the following eight springs were examined to see if they are meeting properly functioning condition for riparian resources. These comprise less that twenty acres of riparian habitat. One-Hole Spring was classified as non-functioning. Kynna Spring was classified as functioning-at-risk with a downward trend. Bighorn seeps 1 & 2 were classified as non-functioning. Dove Spring was considered non-functioning, however with the fencing of the lower pond, positive progress is being made towards the achievement of this standard. Vaughan Spring is currently classified as non-functioning, however livestock grazing is not the primary cause. Viscera Spring was classified as non-functioning. Lower Rattle Spring located within Rattlesnake Canyon is currently subject to excessive utilization and is estimated to be non-functioning, this estimation needs to be varified. Mound, Two-Hole and Rattlesnake Spring are marginally considered to be functioning. Their sources are adequately protected, however the exclosure fences encompass a very minimal amount of riparian habitat.

Comments: Prescriptions are currently being developed to enhance riparian values at all spring sites.

C. Information relevant to fallback standard, Stream Morphology: Stream channel morphology (including but not limited to gradient, width/depth ratio, channel roughness and sinuosity) and functions are appropriate for the climate and landform.

There are no streams located within this allotment.

Information relevant to fallback standard, Native Species:
 Healthy, productive and diverse populations of native species exist and are maintained.

 Biotic Indicators (reference and date of information source):

Community diversity - Community diversity is based upon the number of species by life form and representation of life form diversity relative to the site potential. Deterioration is indicated when the number of life forms and/or number of species by life form declines relative to site potential. For example, most transect conducted within creosote scrub communities exhibited low diversity relative to site potential. RS#1A - Low, 1B - Low, 1C - Medium, 1D - Medium, 2A - Medium, 2B - Medium, 2C - Medium, 3A - Medium, 3B - Medium, 4A - Medium, 4B - Medium, 5A - Medium, 5B - Medium, 6A - Low, 7A - Medium, 7B - Medium, 8A - Medium, 8B - Low.

Community structure (layers) - Overall community structure in most of the plant communities within the allotment were fair to good. However, due to the lack of recruitment, periodic rest and moderate to heavy utilization levels, plant community structure was not appropriate for the site at RS#1A, 5A & 6A.

Exotic species (invaders) - As documented in the rangeland health assessment conducted between December, 1998 through June, 1999 levels of exotic species was noted. Unacceptable levels of schmius and/or red brome detected at RS#7A. It is unknown if continuous livestock grazing has contributed to this condition.

**Species vigor** (production, mortality, decadence, etc.) - As documented in the rangeland health assessment conducted between December, 1998 through June, 1999 the vigor of both shrubs and herbaceous species was documented at every assessment site. The unseasonable low spring precipitation, moderate to heavy utilization levels and lack of periodic rest resulted in poor vigor detected at RS#1A, 5A & 6A.

Diversity of age classes - As documented in the rangeland health assessment conducted between December, 1998 through June, 1999 the diversity of age classes for both shrubs and herbaceous species was documented at every assessment site. The unseasonable low spring precipitation, moderate to heavy utilization levels and lack of periodic rest resulted in poor age class distribution detected at RS#1A, 5A & 6A.

**Recruitment** - As documented in the rangeland health assessment conducted between December, 1998 through June, 1999 the rate of recruitment for both shrubs and herbaceous species was documented at every assessment site. The unseasonable low spring precipitation, moderate to heavy utilization levels and lack of periodic rest resulted in poor species recruitment detected at RS#1A, 5A & 6A.

Wildlife forms present (obligate) - As documented in the rangeland health assessment conducted between December, 1998 through June, 1999 habitat quality for wildlife was documented at every assessment site. The unseasonable low spring precipitation, moderate to heavy utilization levels and lack of periodic rest has resulted in poor wildlife habitat quality being detected at RS#1A, 5A & 6A.

Special status species - As documented in the rangeland health assessment conducted between December, 1998 through June, 1999 habitat quality for special status species was documented at every assessment site. Special status species include desert tortoise, raptors, bighorn sheep and perish's daisy. Habitat quality was determined to be not acceptable for desert tortoise at RS#5A & 6A.

Comment: Overall this standard is being achieved for this allotment, however the Native Species standard is currently not being met at RS#1A, 5A & 6A.

### Section 3-Summary of Determinations and Rationale

Determination on Standards Achievement

Upon completion of this form, an examination of the information listed in Section 2 (above) and recent field visits (see PART II), if applicable, indicate the following with regard to standards achievement for the area identified in Section 1:

Standard Soil Permeability	Not Met but Progressing Towards/ Not Met and Not Progressing Towards/ N/A
Rationale supporting December, 1998 and	determination: Field assessments (see soils data sheets) conducted between June, 1999.
Standard Riparian/Wetland	Determination on Standard Achievement (check appropriate box for each standard)  Met/XX Not Met but Progressing Towards/ Not Met and
Magnitude: Acres/N	No Progressing Towards/N/A  Miles Not Met<20 acres Percent of Allotment/Area Not Met _<1%
- Are livestock a signi	ficant factor? (circle one): XX YESNON/A

Rationale supporting determination: There are seven riparian areas, both developed and undeveloped where heavy to severe utilization levles at the sources, and other PFC related factors have classified these areas as not meeting this standard. Strategies have been developed to enhance riparian values at each of the springs. The implementation of these strategies will occur two springs at a time over the next 4 years. If additional funding becomes available, every attempt will be made to shorten the 4 years estimated of riparian improvement strategy implementation. Riparian enhancement strategies have been implemented at Dove Spring. See recommendations in Section 5.

Standard Stream Morphology	Determination or Met/	Not Me	t but Progress	eck appropri sing Towards	ate box f	or each stands Not Met	andard) and
Magnitude: Acres/M	iles Not Met		Percent of	Allotment/A	rea Not	viet	_
Are livestock a significationale supporting	cant factor? (circ determination: N	le one): o streams are l	YES ocated on this	NO s allotment.	X	N/A	
Standard Native Species Magnitude: Acres N	Determination of Met/ Met and Not Protect Met Approx.	Not N	Met but Progra	essing Towar N/A	rds/	<u> </u>	_ 1401
Are livestock a signi Rationale supporting Rattlesnake Canyon during the critical gr Desert tortoise and negatively impacted achieving the Native	Allotment from I will be a commendation of the	December, 199 d the lack of p bitat quality w n have been fo	8 through Juneriodic rest hat the polygons or mulated that	ne, 1999 reve ave degraded RS#1A, 5A would result	the plan	t communi	ities. n
Section 4-For The Determination and Standards not achie	d Supporting Ra	tionale X	Аррисабіе		от гарри	s) for cable	
Major Uses Ration Domestic Livestoc Utilization	onale and Informa k Grazing: n records - Refle						
Field not	es/photographs -	See rangeland	health assess	ment forms b	y transec	ct.	
	ant presence - U						
very poor	l weather events ephemeral crop, a allotment.	- Very dry late and has negative	e winter/spring ely impacted	g in 1996, 19 the vigor of	97 & 199 perennial	99 have re	sulted in a munities
Section 5-BLM Development an Achieving the S	Staff Who Revie d Implementation tandard(s)X	on of Appropr	rate Action i	o Make Sigi	Recomm nificant l	nendations Progress T	s for 'oward

Names Remijio Chavez Tanya Egan Jessica Walker Position Rangeland Mgmt. Spec. Natural Resource Spec. Botanist

In cases where the standards are not achieved and after considering all relevant information, we recommend the priority for developing and implementing appropriate action to achieve standards in Section 2:

#### Recommended Prescribed Action -

Upland habitat not meeting standards: Rattlesnake Cyn. polygons 1, 5 & 6 have been identified has not achieving the Native Species standard and not conforming with national guidelines iii, vi, viii, viii, xi and xii (see 43 CFR 4180.2). Recommendations for polygon 1 include, but are not limited to the following management actions: Defer any grazing use in polygon 1 until June 15th, or until after seed dissemination on key species has occurred as determined by BLM. Recommendations for polygon 5 include, but are not limited to the following management actions: Provide for complete rest from any grazing use one year out of three. In those years where grazing use is allowed, grazing use would not be permitted between March 15th through June 15th, to allow for seed desimination of key species. This management action would be in concert with similar management actions recommended for polygon 6. When livestock are permitted to use polygon 5, polygon 6 would be rested, and when grazing use is permitted in polygon 6, polygon 5 would be rested, both polygons are subject to deferment between March 15th through June 15th. Recommendation for polygon 6 include, but are not limited to the following management actions: Implement the same management actions described for polygon 5. The displaced livestock from polygons 1, 5 & 6 would have to be re-located to other portions of the allotment or removed from the allotment and placed on private land. The lessee will be receiving a new grazing decision containing any new terms and conditions cited in the Decision Record prior to March 1, 2000.

Riparian habitat not meeting standards: The following riparian/wetland habitat has been identified as not achieving the Riparian/Wetland standard and not conforming with national guidelines iii, xiii and xiv (see 43 CFR 4180.2): One-Hole Spring, Kynna Spring, Bighorn Seeps 1 & 2, Vaughan Spring, Viscera Spring, Dove Spring and Lower Rattle Spring. Implement the necessary modifications to the above mentioned spring that would result in positive progress towards the achievement of the Riparian/Wetland standard. These modification could include fencing off all or portions of the riparian habitat, re-routing pipeline systems, re-locating and/or adding additional troughs, and the placing of shut-off devices (floats) within the water delivery system. Any modification to a developed spring would be in full cooperation with the lessee and interested publics. Strategies to enhance riparian values would be developed on a site-specific bases, in the following order of priority: One-Hole Spring, Kynna Spring, Bighorn Seeps 1 & 2, Vaughan Spring, Viscera Spring, Dove Spring and Lower Rattle Spring (need to confirm priority with BRAT and Tom). The placing of salt and/or mineral blocks on public land is currently prohibited when adjacent to undeveloped riparian/wetland habitat. At developed springs, ramps or floats will be placed in every trough to allow for maximum access to water by wildlife. In cooperation with the lessee, continue to strategies on how to divert water from the upper pond to another location and fence off the upper pond.

A range of alternatives for each management action will be included in the EA for this allotment.

#### **Estimated Cost of Action:**

Item(s): Up to \$10,000 over the next 4 years to pay for fencing materials, pipe, troughs etc., for riparian enhancement work at One-Hole Spring, Kynna Spring, Bighorn Seeps 1 & 2, Vaughan Spring, Viscera Spring, Dove Spring and Lower Rattle Spring.

Labor: Most of the riparian related labor would be volunteer labor. The seasonal closures and deferment recommended would require increase field patrols and monitoring. Funding must be made available to pay for horse use by our volunteer range rider.

Performance Period for Action: Implement deferred grazing use in polygon 1 beginning on March 15th, 2000. Implement complete rest for polygon 6 beginning March 15th, 2000. Implement riparian enhancement strategies for One-Hole Spring, Kynna Spring and Bighorn Seeps prior to May, 2000. The implementation of these management actions would only apply if selected in the Decision Record.

Biological/Physical	C.1	V high	
Severity of resource impacts resulting from non-achievement	of the standard	X nign	
modium low			
Size and area of affected resources: Approximately 4,000 acr	es in Rattlesnake	Cyn. polygons	5 1, 5 & 6.
Probability to arrest further degradation: high X	medium	low	_ unknown
Administrative	** 1:1		law
Proportion of federal land in the allotment:	X high	medium_	low
Pending administrative actions (lease renewal or transfer):	X pending	not p	bending until
Social			1
Anticipated cooperation of the permittee/lessee:	expected	Xnot	expected
Legal requirements:	X compel	ling no	ot compelling
Other: It is anticipated that the lessee will appeal some or al	l of the recommen	ded managen	nent actions if
they are selected in the Decision Record.			
<b>Economic Considerations</b>			
Not a major factor.			

Section 6-Documentation of Involvement by Permittees/Lessees, State Agencies and the Interested Public to Determine Conformance With Standards and to Determine Contributing Factors

Indicate the occurrence of public participation (e.g., permittee, interested public, other Federal or State/local agencies), or opportunities for public participation to review achievement of standards and contributing factors (who, when, and conversation or meeting summary): Ileen Anderson, California Native Plant Society (CNPS) and Tom Thompson, have participated in field assessment activities. William Mitchell, the lessee, Daniel Patterson, Southwest Center for Biological Diversity (SWCBD) and Ileen Anderson, CNPS have been keep apprised of progress being made on completion of the field assessment process.

# Section 7-Authorized Officer's Determination and Priority for Appropriate Action and Implementation

I have reviewed the recommended determination and supporting rationale regarding the achievement or lack thereof to attain rangeland health standards. In this case, the standard(s) have not been achieved. I have determined the priority for developing and implementing the recommended prescribed action (unless modified under "COMMENTS" listed below) to achieve the standards for the area identified in Section 3 (check one).

Staff are directed to implement prescribed actions in accordance with this priority. This assessment and determination will be reviewed by a team on or before <u>January</u>, 2002 to ascertain the adequacy of current direction to attain standards.

FIELD OFFICE MANAGER

9.22-99 DATE

COMMENTS

Develop a full range of alternatives prescriptions which will achieve meeting standards on this allotnent.